

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | È | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/820,389 | 04/07/2004 | | Keith E. Barr | 542262000200 | 8442 |
| 20872 | 7590 | 11/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | ERSTER LLP | REIS, TRAVIS M | | |
| 425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | 2859 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(a) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/820,389 | BARR, KEITH E. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Travis M. Reis | 2859 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE! | I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A | <u>ugust 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| · · | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| , , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. | S)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. |) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | • | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | a. 🗆 | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/820,389 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 9-14, & 18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Butler et al. (U.S. Patent 4912662).

Butler et al. disclose an inclination measurement device (20) and method of using comprising a conductive chamber (40) containing a dielectric fluid (50) (Figure 3)(col. 4 lines 54-55), at least three conductive plate electrodes (52-57) set approximately equidistantly from each other, partially immersed in said fluid (Figure 6B); a processing module (90) configured to measure capacitance between each of the electrodes and the conductive chamber and to determine an overall angle of inclination and the direction of inclination of the chamber values in a first and second axis (Figure 11); a display (25) for displaying a numerical value corresponding to the overall angle of inclination and further comprising left or right indicators positioned radially around the center of said device (Figures 2B & 2C), for the indication of the direction of inclination; and oscillators (82) and a microcontroller(92) & switch (88) to count the output cycles and selective couple said oscillator with said electrodes (Figure 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 7, 8, & 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butler et al. in view of Kelly et al. (U.S. Patent 4866850).

Page 3

Butler et al. discloses all of the instant claimed invention as stated above in the rejection of claims 1-4, 9-14, & 18-27, including the electrodes are conductive plates (Figures 4A & 4C), said plates being approximately equidistant from each other, and an outer wall (46) (Figure 3).

Butler does not disclose an inner wall, wherein the plates are spaced approximately equidistant from said inner wall and said outer wall.

Kelly et al. disclose a clinometer (1) with an inner (22) and outer wall (10A), said insulated inner wall in place to provide an opening for mounting to a level (Figure 1)(col. 4 lines 1-5 & 20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add the inner wall disclosed by Kelly et al. to the inclinometer disclosed by Butler et al. in order to provide a constant spacing between the two chamber walls and an insulated means to mount the chamber to the housing (22) (Figure 1).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

Art Unit: 2859

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Travis M. Reis whose telephone number is (571) 272-2249. The examiner can normally be reached on 8--5 M--F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Travis M Reis Examiner Art Unit 2859 Diego Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner Tech Center 2800

tmr October 31, 2005